UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,940	04/06/2007	Rolf Weiler	AP 10800	7081
	7590 10/12/201 LL TEVES, INC.		EXAMINER	
ONE CONTINI	ENTAL DRIVE		BURCH, MELODY M	
AUBURN HILLLS, MI 48326-1581			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/575,940	WEILER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MELODY BURCH	3657

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 September 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (was for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this es: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the vith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	•				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	,				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complianc	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S	ee attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowald non-allowable claim(s). 	ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:					
10/7/11	/Melody M. Burch/				
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the radial bias provided by spring assembly 9 of Oshima does not affect the axial positions of the brake lining and the brake disk. Examiner notes that the argument is more specific than the claim language. The claim language says nothing about axial positions of the brake lining and the brake disk. Instead, the claim language recites a spring assembly to adjust "a clearance between the brake lining and the brake disc". On page 5 of the remarks Applicant acknowledges that the Oshima spring assembly 9 causes radially inward movement of the brake lining with respect to the brake disk. This relative movement adjusts a radial clearance, distance, or space between the lining and the disk. Examiner maintains that the acknowledged radial inward movement of the brake lining with respect to the brake disk caused by the spring assembly 9 satisfies the "to adjust a clearance between the brake lining and the brake disc" limitation, as broadly recited. In paragraph [0005] of the published application Applicant explains that the purpose of the clearance adjustment is to prevent undesirable inclined positioning or tilting of the lining. Examiner notes that the radial force provided by the Oshima spring assembly 9 would inherently help to prevent undesirable inclined positioning or tilting of the linings it supports. Accordingly, the rejections of the claims, as broadly recited, have been maintained.